

Date/Time of Meeting	March 9, 2022 10:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. MT Dial: 415-655-0003 Access code: 2463 487 4727 Meeting password: pHfp8tM9HQ4 (74378869 from phones and video systems) Webex: https://idhw.webex.com/idhw/j.php?MTID=m9ddb300283ce3b1efeb1c87aff9c3672
Meeting Purpose	Interagency Governance Team (IGT)
Host	Janet Hoeke: Chair, Ross Edmunds: Co-Chair, Vice-Chair: Patrick Gardner, & Co-Vice-Chair: David Welsh

Voting Members	Att'd	Proxy Voting Members	Att'd	Non-Voting Members	Att'd
Ross Edmunds - DBH	X	Candace Falsetti - DBH	X	Georganne Benjamin - Optum	O
Janet Hoeke - Parent Leader	X	Michelle Weir - FACS	O	Joyce Broadsword - DHW Regional Director	O
David Welsh - Medicaid	X	TBD - Medicaid	O	Ruth York - Family Advocacy Agency	X
Patrick Gardner - Child Advocate	X	Recorder	Att'd	Madeline Titelbaum - IFF Program Coordinator	X
Howard Belodoff - Child Advocate	X	Megan Schuelke - DBH	O	Dora Axtell - Nimiipuu Health	X
Chad Cardwell - FACS	X	Maggie Copeland - DBH	X	Candice Jimenez - NPAIHB	O
Jessica Barawed - County Juvenile Justice	X	Non-Voting Members	Att'd	Caroline Merritt - Association of Providers	O
Laura Treat - DBH CMH Representative	X	Shane Duty - DBH	X	Michelle Batten - FYIdaho	X
Marquette Hendricks - Tribal Representative	X	Jon Meyer - DBH	X	Ellyn Wilhelm - Marimn Health	X
Laura Scuri - Provider	X	Jenna Tetrault - Medicaid	X	Raini Bowles - Parent	O
Pat Martelle - Family Advocacy Agency/Chair of ICAT	X	Juliet Charron - Medicaid	X	Andrea Blackwood - FACS	X
Kim Hokanson - Parent Leader	X	Francesca Barbaro - Medicaid	O	Clay Lord - FACS	X
Nat Parry - Youth Leader	O	KayT Garrett - DHW DAG	X	Amy Minzghor - Parent	X
Monty Prow - IDJC	X	Kim Stretch - DHW DAG	O	Madison Miles - FACS DAG	X
Eric Studebaker - SDE	X	Joy Jansen - School District	O		

MEETING AGENDA

#	Time	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
1	10:00am	5 mins	Welcome, Roll Call & Approve Minutes	IGT Executive Committee	The following document(s) were shared with the IGT members: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sponsor's Status Report Communications Strategic Planning Workgroup Monthly Report from February 2022 Family Engagement Subcommittee Meeting Notes from February 2022 Ross Edmunds motioned to approve the IGT Meeting notes from February 2022 as written and Eric Studebaker seconded this motion.	Vote: The IGT voting members voted unanimously to approve the IGT Meeting notes from February 2022.
2	10:05am	20 mins	Review Sponsor's Report	DBH & Medicaid	Ross Edmunds reviewed the Sponsor's Report with the IGT members. Pat Martelle asked if a parent member has been included in the development of the materials for House Bill 233. Ross Edmunds confirmed that parents will be asked to help approve the materials and assist with the communication of the materials. Pat Martelle also asked where Candace Falsetti is drawing the list of barriers to care	

#	Time	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					<p>from. Candace Falsetti reported that the information is from the QMIA Quarterly Reports that have been published on the YES website for several years.</p> <p>As it relates to the creation of the Jeff D. Compliance Task Force, Patrick Gardner outlined the origination and function of the IWG (Implementation Workgroup), as well as the member types of the IWG. He noted that the workgroup includes stakeholders from all the key perspectives of the system, which is essential for an effective and reliable Compliance Task Force.</p> <p>David Welsh noted the next IBHP milestone will come after the response period closes at midnight on April 15. As a result, the next plan milestone cannot be discussed at the next IGT meeting.</p>	
3	10:25am	15 mins	Mental Health Needs and ER Use	Patrick Gardner	<p><i>Not discussed. Topic was moved to the next IGT Meeting.</i></p> <p>Patrick Gardner motioned to move this discussion to the next IGT Meeting for those scheduled to present and Ross Edmunds seconded this motion.</p>	Note: The IGT voting members voted unanimously to approve moving this agenda topic to the IGT Meeting in April 2022.
4	10:40am	30 mins	Basic Review of the Implementation Assurance Plan (IAP) with Q&A	IGT Executive Committee	<p>Patrick Gardner shared the IAP Presentation, which included a basic review of the Implementation Assurance Plan with the objectives.</p> <p>Pat Martelle asked where a person would go to ask questions or provide feedback about the IAP. Patrick Gardner stated he assumes that feedback will be processed by the Center of Excellence. The normal pathways are still there as well including Medicaid, clinicians, and service providers. There is a Due Process provision as well. Ross Edmunds suggested the IGT may be an appropriate place for people to bring questions about the IAP as well. Pat Martelle asked if the IGT will sunset after the sustainability period or if there is a provision written that it will go on past that. Patrick Gardner explained that the IAP sunsets and the IGT continues to allow for stakeholder engagement but there is no requirement that it does so.</p> <p>Laura Scuri asked about a strategic planning process. Ross Edmunds noted there is a strategic plan that began before the IAP was finalized, but it needs updated. Janet Hoeke suggested that individual workgroups go through the IAP requirements. Laura Scuri recommended that a meeting day be set to get the work done. David Welsh added that when the YES Project Manager is onboarded in April, one larger project plan will be developed. Ross Edmunds noted</p>	DECISION: The Department will discuss how to

#	Time	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					<p>there are two parts to the upcoming work; IGT's role in the system as it relates to IAP and the strategic plan to implement the IAP requirements.</p> <p>Patrick Gardner discussed additional resources that will be needed to complete the work. Given all the obligations IGT has, additional staff will be needed. Pat Martell agreed that additional settlement committees and resources will be needed. David Welsh suggested reviewing the IAP to identify work objectives, resources needed, and looping in the new Project Manager in April. Janet Hoeke stated that if we wait for the YES Project Manager and identifying work in the IAP, we will not have enough time. Patrick Gardner stated that the immediate challenge is the staffing issue. If the Department has hired a full-time Project Manager, it would make sense for that individual to help staff the IGT. He requested feedback from the state on options for getting staffing and resources necessary. Patrick Gardner also cautioned that we need to recognize and acknowledge that there already is a fair amount of staffing resources to the YES program that directly or indirectly benefit the IGT including Ross Edmunds, David Welsh, and Megan Schuelke. Patrick Gardner stated that the staffing needs to be in addition to those existing resources. Janet Hoeke reiterate the need for a strategic plan to lay out how the IGT will move forward with the IAP. Patrick Gardner asked if the membership believes that the IGT should be involved with a strategic plan to get this done or if we should trust the leadership with getting started, setting it up, and bringing it back to the IGT. Patrick Gardner then motioned for the IGT Executive Committee to come to the next IGT meeting with a concrete proposal about how to adjust the strategic plan, so it incorporates the obligations and duties of the IAP. Ross Edmunds seconded this motion.</p>	<p>best resource the IAP and the IGT at the next Sponsor's meeting and bring the proposal to the next IGT meeting.</p> <p>ACTION: Megan Schuelke will add the approved motion to the next IGT Executive Committee meeting and the following IGT Meeting.</p> <p>Vote: The IGT voting members voted in favor of having the IGT Executive Committee present an updated strategic plan proposal at the next IGT Meeting.</p>
5	11:10am	20 mins	Presentation on FACS Administrative Directive	Andrea Blackwood	<p>Andrea Blackwood reviewed the FACS Administrative Directive document with the IGT members. She noted that there are resources with the Deputy Attorney General's office and with staff to help make decisions on dispositions.</p> <p>Pat Martelle asked what happens if the Child Welfare assessor determines a less acute condition and does not agree with the acuity level the hospital ER has concluded. Andrea Blackwood noted that the role of the social workers is to assess the safety of the child. They would not do a clinical assessment to override the hospital's clinical assessment. The assessment is comprehensive, including family, children, and hospital clinicians to determine if the child is safe in the home and to determine any additional needs.</p>	

#	Time	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					<p>Howard Belodoff asked about the language “parents who refuse to care for their children” and how FACS can write that parents can refuse something if there are no services available for the child. Howard Belodoff expressed that the FACS directive goes against House Bill 233. Andrea Blackwood clarified there is a difference between the family’s perspective on the acuity level verses the acuity level of the child from the professionals who are assessing the child to determine safety. The family may not want to take the child home for other reasons. Amy Minzghor stated that the hospital will even say the child needs to be there, but the insurance disagrees, so parents are supposed to pick up the child or pay outright. Howard Belodoff noted that the FACS Administrative Directive does not include the QRT process, House Bill 233, or the provider interagency agreement and needs to incorporate those items. As well, it is often the case that the parent is refusing to take the child home because there are no services and not because they refuse to care for their child. Andrea Blackwood explained that this Directive is very specific to a disposition decision and must cover the nuances of the entirety of what Child Welfare may see. The resources of the interagency agreement and the QRT provide additional options for staff to help families. Howard Belodoff stated that the Directive must include some of the safeguards that are incorporated within the interagency agreement so that the social workers that are looking at the document can see those before making a substantiation. Howard Belodoff added that July 1 is the implementation date of the document. Ruth York agreed with Howard Belodoff’s points and stated that the wording in these documents is not family-friendly. That perpetuates a negative staff mindset and she requested that this wording be changed.</p> <p>Kim Hokanson asked about the acuity level that the clinician of the hospital provides to FACS before they make a substantiation. The family and child may not be using the correct terms, which prevents them from meeting the criteria for acute hospitalization. She asked how that plays into the document Andrea Blackwood has shared. Andrea Blackwood explained that the process begins with a call but the role of the staff during the assessment is to also work with the parents and not just the clinical hospital staff. Patrick Gardner stated the way the Directive is written is in exception to House Bill 233. He will add his feedback to the document as well. KayT Garrett asked the IGT members to read through the policy thoroughly. The second page elaborates that if a Child Protection</p>	<p>ACTION: Chad Cardwell and Andrea Blackwood will bring the concerns back to the FACS leadership. All IGT members should put their concerns in writing and send them to Chad Cardwell.</p>

#	Time	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					staff member has concerns, this might be a situation in which the child is not safe and there is a process that they must go through for the case with the Child Welfare Chief and DAG before deciding.	
6	11:30am	15 mins	IGT Executive Committee Meetings with Subcommittees	IGT Executive Committee	Patrick Gardner shared that the IGT Executive Committee met and will bring proposals to the next IGT Meeting.	
7	11:45am	5 mins	New Business Items	IGT Members	Pat Martelle that reported the current Idaho Federation of Family's youth representative, Nat Parry, is no longer able to serve but Madeline Titelbaum can serve as the youth representative going forward.	NEXT STEPS: Madeline Titelbaum's nomination will be addressed at the next IGT Meeting.
8	11:50am	5 mins	Public Comment	IGT Members	<i>No public comments were shared at this time.</i>	
9	11:55am	5 mins	Review Future Agenda Topics	IGT Executive Committee	<p><u>Future IGT Agenda Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Youth Member Nomination and Vote - Madeline Titelbaum Review Sponsor's Status Report - DBH & Medicaid <i>standing agenda item</i> Update on draft of PRA Proposal Solution - ICAT subcommittee IGT Executive Committee Strategic Plan Proposal - IGT Executive Committee Mental Health Needs and ER Use - Patrick Gardner 	
10	12:00pm	--	Dismissal	IGT Members		

The IGT will track action items and their status from the meetings here:

Follow-up Items	Opened	Owner	Due Date	Comments	Status
Regional SOC Project and the intention to have one region present at each IGT Meeting.	3/6/20	Ross Edmunds	4/3/20	1/11 Update: Patrick Gardner suggested that we target the CMH subcommittees of the RBHBs to gather information. We could distribute a list of questions that the IGT would like answered by the CMH subcommittees.	3/10, In Progress . Ross Edmunds spoke with the RBHB Leadership members and sent the questions to the CMH subcommittees requesting feedback.
Follow-up with Miren Unsworth to gather more information about implementing the START model for children.	11/10/21	Chad Cardwell	N/A		11/10, New .
Gather information from community providers about the decrease in skills-building and the increase in TCC.	2/9/22	Laura Treat	N/A		2/9, New .
Chad Cardwell and Andrea Blackwood will bring the concerns back to the FACS leadership. All IGT members should put their concerns in writing and send them to Chad Cardwell .	3/9/22	Chad Cardwell	N/A		3/9, New .