

<b>Date/Time of Meeting</b>	Wednesday, July 12, 2023, 10:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. MT Dial: 415-527-5035 Access code: 2764 798 7204 Meeting password: sYmAkTAB229 (79625822 from phones and video systems) Webex: <a href="https://idhw.webex.com/idhw/j.php?MTID=m1dbda2aff2046dd18342720b6e578782">https://idhw.webex.com/idhw/j.php?MTID=m1dbda2aff2046dd18342720b6e578782</a> In-person Location: PTC, 450 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor, Conference Room 3A
<b>Meeting Purpose</b>	Interagency Governance Team (IGT)
<b>Host</b>	Brittany Shipley: Chair, Ross Edmunds: Co-Chair, Vice-Chair: Patrick Gardner, & Co-Vice-Chair: David Welsh

Voting Members	Att'd	Voting Members	Att'd	Ex-officio Members	Att'd
				Nicole Gaylin - Medicaid	
Ross Edmunds - DBH	x	David Tovar - IBHP Bureau Chief	x	Cameron Gilliland - FACS	
Brittany Shipley - Parent Leader	x	Laura Scuri - Provider	x	Ashley Porter - IBHP Bureau	x
David Welsh - Medicaid	x	Sara Bennett - Parent Leader	x	Chynna Hirasaki - SDE	
Patrick Gardner - Child Advocate	x	Chynna Hirasaki - SDE		Joy Jansen - School District	
Howard Belodoff - Child Advocate	x	Monty Prow - IDJC	x	Georganne Benjamin - Optum	x
Jessica Barawed - County Juvenile Justice		<b>Proxy Voting Members</b>	<b>Att'd</b>	Matt Johansen - Optum	x
Val Johnson - DBH CMH Representative		Candace Falsetti - DBH	x	Dora Axtell - Nimiipuu Health	x
Marquette Hendrickx - Tribal Representative	x	Andie Blackwood - FACS		Candice Jimenez - NPAIHB	
Ruth York - Family Advocacy Agency	x	<b>Recorder</b>	<b>Att'd</b>	Caroline Merritt - Association of Providers	x
Kim Hokanson - Parent Leader	x	Sally Bryan - DBH	x	Michelle Batten - FYIdaho	x
TBD - Youth Leader		<b>Ex-officio Members</b>	<b>Att'd</b>	Raini Bowles - Parent Representative	
Chad Cardwell - FACS		Alan Foutz - DBH DAG	x	Tricia Ellinger - Parent Representative	x
Juliet Charron - Medicaid		Brian Blalock - Child Advocate		Janet Hoeke - Parent Representative	
		Mallory Kotze - IBHP Bureau	x	Megan Schuelke - DBH	x
		Kylie Turner - Member of the Public	x	David Tovar - IBHP Bureau	x
		Christina Ward - IBHP Bureau	x	Angie Delio - Marimn Health	x

**MEETING NOTES**

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
1	<b>5 mins</b> <i>(All times are aspirational &amp; are subject to change.)</i>	Welcome, Roll Call, Approve Minutes, & Update on Action Items	IGT Executive Committee	<p>The following document(s) were shared with the IGT members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><a href="#">YES Communications Strategic Planning Workgroup Monthly Report from July 2023</a></li> </ul> <p>Quorum was met. Patrick asked that we have a transcript of the meetings for the future so that the minutes could be more abbreviated. Ross asked Sally or Megan to look into getting a transcription to go along with the abbreviated meeting minutes. Brittany mentioned that she is aware of some meetings that use apps to create a transcription. She will report to Sally or Megan what the options might be.</p>	<p>Motion to approve minutes: Ross Edmunds Seconded: Kim Hokanson Unanimous approval: Approved</p> <p><b>Next Step:</b> Brittney will</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Marquette mentioned that she was not able to find the meeting items sent. Megan stated that the meeting agenda and notes were sent on June 28<sup>th</sup> at 12:37 PM. Megan asked Marquette for her new email address so she can update the distribution list. Marquette abstain from voting on the approval of the IGT meeting notes since she did not receive them.</p> <p><b>Action Item:</b> Approve IGT Meeting Notes from June 2023.</p>	<p>investigate what they use in her other meeting for transcription and will share that with Megan and Sally</p> <p><b>Next Step:</b> IGT Executive Committee agenda item will be to explore transcription options.</p>
2	5 mins	Vote on New & Renewed IGT Voting Members	IGT Voting Members	<p>1. Vote for Ruth York as the renewed IGT Voting Member from FYIdaho. Serves as a family advocacy organization. Patrick questioned what position Ruth is filling. Ross believes that Ruth is in a Family and Youth Advocate Agency. Patrick was interested to find out if this was an ex-officio position. It was clarified that Ruth was being voted as an advocate and not an ex-officio member.</p> <p>2. Vote for Chynna Hirasaki as the new IGT Voting Member from SDE. Julie Mead resigned and nominated Chynna to replace her position on the IGT. Ross shared that the State Department of Education has recommended her, and we can either vote this person in or wait for a meeting when she can attend. Patrick asked that her membership be confirmed again via email and added to the distribution list.</p> <p>David Welsh mentioned that Alex Scott will be transitioning to a new position. David Tovar will be representing the Idaho Behavioral Health Plan Bureau. He will need to be voted in at the next meeting. Patrick mentioned that we don’t need to vote in ex-officio members. Megan pointed out that Alex is a voting member. Ross mentioned that we might want to look through our list of voting members vs. ex-officio members. Ross mentioned that Alex has served the IGT well and she is appreciated.</p>	<p>Motion to approve Ruth York: Ross Edmunds Motion Seconded (Ruth York): Laura Scuri Unanimous approval: Approved</p> <p>Motion to approve Chynna Hirasaki: Patrick Gardner Motion Seconded (Chynna Hirasaki): Ross Edmunds Unanimous approval: Approved</p> <p><b>Next Step:</b> Sally will contact Chynna to ensure she is the</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					<p>representative for SDE.</p> <p><b>Next Step:</b> At the next IGT meeting we will vote in David Tovar as the representative for the IBHP.</p>
3	15 mins	Review & Vote on Proposed Updated IGT Bylaws	IGT Executive Committee	<p>Brittney discussed the proposed changes to the IGT Bylaws. The red lettering shows the changes. The IGT Executive Committee is trying to make this as efficient as possible. The hope was to streamline the IGT Bylaws, including the status of ex-officio members and whether they are voting members or not. It was agreed that every voice member. It was agreed that all members are voting members. We weighed out the different parties that were represented. We discussed how to handle vacated positions. If we have someone who leaves before their term ended, we wanted to ensure that the position was still represented.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that the ex-officio membership is still a bit messy and the IGT Executive Committee might want to look at it again, maybe via a vote. The chairs for the subcommittees were moved into voting status. The piece about ex-officio members becoming voting members would need to be looked at. He recommends that we adopt the changes proposed and look at it again at the next IGT Executive Committee meeting. Ross mentioned that we might want to change some of the rules so that we can easily have members represent different areas of the state.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that the Idaho Behavioral Health Cooperative is supposed to be the appointing body for this group and if this group takes over that role by having a large ex-officio membership this might be an important consideration to deal with regarding the authority of the appointing body.</p> <p>Kim asked if it would be a good idea to get feedback from the IGT members for the IGT Executive Committee. Patrick shared that they welcome feedback. Kim would like to save the discussion for a later date. Brittney mentioned that we could get feedback via email Ross suggested that the IGT Bylaw feedback be received by July 26<sup>th</sup>.</p>	<p>Motion to vote in favor and approve of the proposed amendments: Patrick Motion Seconded: Ross Edmunds Unanimous approval: Approved</p> <p><b>Next Step:</b> Sally or Megan will send out the by-laws and request feedback from the full IGT with a due date of July 26<sup>th</sup>.</p> <p>Patrick motioned to have the IGT Bylaws distributed for feedback and to ask the IGT Executive Committee to re-examine the issue and report</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
					back at the next IGT meeting. Motion seconded by Ross. The motion was unanimously approved.
4	5 mins	Update from ICAT Subcommittee	Laura Scuri	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">ICAT Subcommittee Charter</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">ICAT Subcommittee Statement of Purpose</a></li> </ul> <p>Laura Scuri reported that the last ICAT Subcommittee meeting was spent on the direction and how we are going to make decisions and what projects we are going to take on. The ICAT Charter and Statement of Purpose were provided for approval from IGT. Once those are approved, we will implement them.</p> <p>Laura stated that they may make presentations but are not part of the decision-making process. They make group decisions by vote. Patrick wondered how they determine the agenda.</p> <p>Laura stated that IGT directives are always their priority, but they also receive information from presentations, and they discuss what their priorities should be through a group discussion and vote. Patrick wondered if state agencies ever request to address certain issues or problems.</p> <p>Laura stated that they do receive presentations, at times, by state agencies and they discuss those as a group to determine if there is something they can do to help. They try not to duplicate work if necessary.</p> <p>Patrick asked if the quality review is part of their considerations. Laura stated that they do consider the quality review and are in the process of reviewing that as we speak.</p> <p>Patrick asked if any of the YES Sprint presentations have been part of their process.</p> <p>Laura stated that they have had a presentation by Dr. Israel. Ross mentioned that the state has not made any YES Sprint presentations to the ICAT Subcommittee.</p> <p>Laura mentioned that they are happy to address any IGT recommendations. She will reach out to Ross to get that presentation on the ICAT Subcommittee schedule.</p> <p>Patrick wondered if the ICAT Subcommittee could use some more direction from the IGT. Patrick mentioned that the One Kid, One CANS Workgroup put forth a training recommendation and that is something that the ICAT Subcommittee might investigate.</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Laura shared that the ICAT Subcommittee welcomes any direction from the IGT. One Kid, One CANS presentation is going to be happening at the next meeting.</p> <p>Marquette asked if there are any recommendations for the tribes about the CANS being required or not. There is some confusion in the Tribes and they are not sure how to move forward with this in the future.</p> <p>Laura mentioned that the ICAT Subcommittee has not taken this on yet but will keep it on their radar.</p> <p>Marquette mentioned that the tribes need more direction regarding the CANS.</p> <p>Patrick wondered if the subcommittee members have time to take on projects.</p> <p>Laura said that their group is made up of volunteers so they don't have the kind of time to devote to training and the development of programs. That is mostly done by the state agencies.</p> <p>Laura mentioned that the subcommittee has a new co-chair and their name is Maya Ledgerwood.</p> <p>Patrick wondered if the ICAT Subcommittee Chair and Co-Chair would like to join the IGT Executive Committee meetings to help with coordination.</p> <p>Laura agreed that she would like to join the IGT Executive Committee meetings.</p> <p>Marquette asked if there is a tribal representative on the ICAT Subcommittee.</p> <p>Laura said there is no tribal representative on the ICAT Subcommittee, but it would be valuable.</p> <p>Mallory suggested that there be a tribal representative on the ICAT Subcommittee and wondered if Marquette could assist with finding a representative.</p> <p>Marquette shared that she would like to have more information about the ICAT Subcommittee so that they can get a tribal representative on that subcommittee.</p> <p>Kim asked if Marquette would also be able to find a tribal representative for the One Kid, One CANS Workgroup as that would be helpful as well.</p> <p>Marquette confirmed that she and Angie can discuss that too.</p> <p>Kim shared her email address and noted that everyone is welcome to join the One Kids, One CANS Workgroup meetings.</p> <p>Ashley mentioned that she has some people that might also be interested in participating.</p>	<p><b>Next Step:</b> Mallory will help to put Marquette and Laura in contact to help with tribal representation.</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Ross asked if there is a follow up regarding having the Chairs and Co-Chairs of the subcommittees join the IGT Executive Committee meetings and if that was something that should be discussed at the next IGT Executive Committee Meeting.</p> <p>Patrick clarified that he wanted to find out if that was something the ICAT Subcommittee Chair would be interested in before moving forward.</p>	
5	60 min	YES Sprint Presentations on Intensive Home and Community-Based Services (IHCBS) & Crisis Recommendations	Ross Edmunds & Juliet Charron	<p><i>30 minutes for YES Sprint Intensive Home and Community-Based Services (IHCBS) Recommendation's presentation and 30 minutes for the YES Sprint Crisis Recommendations presentation.</i></p> <p>David Welsh will walk through the YES Sprint presentation on Intensive Home and Community-Based Services (IHCBS) in place of Juliet Charron. These recommendations would benefit all youth but in particular those with high needs. The work that was put into this is greatly appreciated.</p> <p>Ashley clarified that in regard to the IHCBS presentation slides, please note that the Decision Support Tool for IHCBS is already in the works and should have been included on the current work slide. This information was accidentally placed on the wrong slide.</p> <p>Patrick asked how many recommended items can be accomplished in the next 6 months.</p> <p>David said that there are lots of pieces to what can be implemented and on what timeline. That would be a next step to determine which ones can be completed right away. The top three priorities that David thinks could happen would be the Identification of Modalities, Reimbursement Model, and Fidelity (slide 4).</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that the quality report identified that the efforts to expand services is a struggle. We need to know when these services will be accessible as part of the agreement. The IGT needs to have help realizing that these services will be achievable.</p> <p>David mentioned that some variables that are not under our control may delay implementation and some variables are under our control. The YES Sprint groups have been working on gathering all the different services, some of which we are already doing, to ensure that we paint a picture of what is happening or already planned.</p> <p>Patrick asked what the IGT can do to ensure that these things are implemented as soon as possible. We find these presentations helpful, but we want something that we can do to move this along.</p> <p>David mentioned that some of these items are already being done, which demonstrates our work moving forward. We believe that as we look at the recommendations from the YES Sprints, the IGT could help prioritize the pieces that the department should work to</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>execute and review how we are accomplishing items and how they are coinciding with the Implementation Assurance Plan (IAP) and the settlement agreement.</p> <p>Patrick would like some direction for how we can move this work forward. There were specific recommendations in the Crisis Services Report from Beacon, two of which have been implemented. Is it the IGT's responsibility to look at these items? The settlement agreement spells out what is required. So far, the state has not met most of the services that need to be provided to meet the settlement agreement. How does the IGT ensure their role as oversight of the implementation of the settlement agreement obligations are met?</p> <p>Ross mentioned that the presentations are here to inform the IGT and get their input on what services would have the greatest impact and where we should start.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that there have been over 30 recommendations during these presentations, many of which are not close to being implemented. When we asked the state what their priorities are, it is not clear. The state may need to consider that and come back to the IGT with a refined answer. This looks like a big planning process when this has been going on for so many years. What is the role that the IGT should play?</p> <p>Kim mentioned that Ross may be looking at the Family Survey results, which are the surveys that have been given to parents to determine how the children in our system are doing.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that we are struggling with children who are high needs and DBH recognizes that. There are services that we have tried to implement, which have had roadblocks that were not foreseen, such as the workforce issues and reimbursement rates for providers. We want to focus on those children with high needs and how we can improve those services in our state. If we could make major strides in that area, we could make a big impact on those with the highest need. We felt like we needed an intensive focus on those areas. We want the IGT to be aware of the work that was being done as well as asking for recommendations from the IGT on which services we could start with that would have the biggest impact. This is what we are seeking input on.</p> <p>Matt shared that there have been some great initiatives that Medicaid and DBH worked on. Providers have been slow to come on because they struggle with finding qualified workers, but this is increasing. We are hopeful that the providers will soon have enough staff to provide these services.</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Patrick commented that the increase in services is very small. There may be strides but there must be more that can be done to increase these services. One example of something that could be done would be to tell the IGT how long it will take to implement, how many kids it would affect, and what are the barriers to providing these services.</p> <p>Laura Scuri mentioned that she has been a provider for many years and there is a big issue with ramping up providers for these services. Agencies will not want to continue providing these services. The restructures and paperwork required can be roadblocks to providers bringing on new services. There is a lot of work that goes into adding new services for a provider.</p> <p>Patrick asked if the state could assist with any of these issues. Laura mentioned that the reimbursement rate increase has been helpful, but more is needed. There was no background information provided about how these were determined. As well, we may need to look at the children’s behavioral health services overall.</p> <p>Patrick wondered who sets the rate for services. David mentioned that Medicaid sets the reimbursement rates for services, which are sometimes determined by code or rule in statute. Determining the fee schedule rates is a collaborative process.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that the rate is set by the state but the fees are set by the MCO (Managed Care Organization).</p> <p>David mentioned that even though the MCO does have a role to play it is a collaborative effort. The MCO has some flexibility but there is coordination with the state to make sure that it is all aligned.</p> <p>Brittney wants to address the questions posed as to what they feel that the priorities are right now and how the IGT can assist. Brittney asked that members put their input on priorities in the Webex chat.</p> <p>Patrick asked that we add to the YES Sprint presentation to the next IGT meeting agenda. Would it be possible for the state to come back to the IGT with this presentation and add information about how long the recommendations would take to implement and how many consumers would it effect so the IGT membership is better able to provide input on the recommendations?</p> <p>Ross will explain during his presentation next month what the plans are moving forward. For the YES Sprint Crisis Recommendations presentation, Ross will have to figure out how we can estimate the impact on those services.</p> <p>David mentioned that we will start with crisis services at the next IGT meeting. David shared that he was unsure if his team would be able to work through all 4 of the YES Sprint presentations to gather this information by the next IGT meeting.</p>	<p><b>Next Step:</b> Ross will present on the Crisis Services at the next IGT meeting.</p>



#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Howard B mentioned that we have been reviewing the documents, such as Quality Review (QR) Report, and those things need to be acted upon as part of this process. The problems with the providers and parents are listed in the QR Report. It would be helpful for the state to go through and address where the findings are so that it is clear that there is direct input from stakeholders and providers. Ross mentioned that those reports have been considered during this YES Sprint work.</p> <p>Howard B mentioned that this information should be incorporated. The state should address the findings so that it is a part of that process. They should also put in the provisions from the settlement agreement or the IAP to pull it all together. This way we can get a true picture of where we will end up.</p> <p>Patrick agreed that it would be helpful to have the IAP mentioned in the YES Sprint recommendations and see how the various bullet points relate to these obligations.</p> <p>David intends to take the input and incorporate it into the planning process.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that there was a new article that was recently released concerning what is going on with the new Idaho Behavioral Health Plan (IBHP). This could be circulated to the IGT members so that they are also aware of what the public knows.</p> <p><b><u>WEBEX CHAT</u></b>  from Tricia Ellinger Parent to everyone: 11:30 AM  I echo the concerns Patrick shared, as a parent with children with intense needs who have been in out of state PRTF, this needs to move forward more quickly. If IHCBS was actually available and robust, my young children would have never been sent to Arkansas, South Carolina and Georgia for treatment.  from Brittany Shipley to everyone: 11:32 AM  Thank you for sharing your situation, Tricia. I echo the difficulties for navigating services for children in crisis and trying to keep families together.  from Falsetti, Candace - CO 3rd to everyone: 11:39 AM  On the family survey families indicated that overall, 70% (1, 2 or 3 on the CANS) are receiving what they need, for families that have kids with higher needs (2 or 3 on the CANS) 50% said their needs were not being met  from Tricia Ellinger Parent to everyone: 11:41 AM  Thank you, Candace, for those details  from Howard Belodoff to everyone: 11:55 AM</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>The survey methodology is flawed is overrepresented by parents with lower level of needs, so the data does not represent a true picture of the system.</p> <p>from Tricia Ellinger Parent to everyone: 11:57 AM Page 4 Implementation of IHCBS Modalities and Sustainability for Providers AND Improved reimbursement model.... needs to be flexible and timely.</p> <p>from Brittany Shipley to everyone: 11:59 AM For my community Education around IHCBS in the community is a huge need, as many providers, schools, families and even hospitals are not even aware of the forms of services that exist or are available. In fact, providers won't even attempt to set a youth up with YES services in my rural area given the past history of them not being available which is a disservice and something that is difficult to change going forward should IHCBS become more readily available for children with high behavioral health needs in my area.</p> <p>from form Brittany Shipley to everyone: 12:01 PM Secondarily, but equally as critical, is the improved reimbursement rates for providers, as many providers in my area frequently share that they do not find the level of work worth the reimbursement rate, and as such, they do not choose to pursue to be providers in these field or do not continue to be providers in this field, thereby contributing to the shortage of providers.</p> <p>from Tricia Ellinger Parent to everyone: 12:05 PM Brittany, your comment is spot on for Emmett, Gem County as well. However, why educate about a service that is not even available to consumers and families.</p>	
6	10 mins	Presentation & Discussion on Coordination with the LEAs & School District	IGT Members	There is no representation from the LEA's or School districts today.	<b>Next Step:</b> Carry over agenda item.
7	2.5 mins	New Business Items	IGT Members	<i>There was no new business items.</i>	
8	2.5 mins	Public Comments	IGT Members	<i>There was no public comment other than the chat above.</i>	
9	5 mins	Review Future Agenda Topics	IGT Executive Committee	<p><u>August IGT Meeting Agenda:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IBHP Update (<i>Standing Agenda Item</i>) - Medicaid</li> </ul> <p><u>Future IGT Meeting Agenda Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Feedback Suggestions for YES Sprint Recommendations - IGT Executive Committee</li> </ul>	
10	--	Dismissal	IGT Members	August 9 <sup>th</sup> is the next meeting.	

**The IGT will track action items and their status from the meetings here:**

Follow-up Items	Opened	Owner	Due Date	Comments	Status
IGT Bylaw feedback by July 26 <sup>th</sup> .	7/12/2023	IGT Members	7/26/2023	Sally sent the Bylaws out for feedback on 7/13/23.	<b>One person sent feedback.</b>