

Date/Time of Meeting	Wednesday, September 13, 2023, 10:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. MT Dial: 415-527-5035 Access code: 2763 211 5796 Meeting password: 3VRwwN4Swp7 (38799647 from phones and video systems) Webex: https://idhw.webex.com/idhw/j.php?MTID=m1c96801877d2497484f224eb20996cfa In-person Location: PTC, 450 W State Street, Boise, ID 83702, 3 rd Floor, Conference Room 3A
Meeting Purpose	Interagency Governance Team (IGT)
Host	Brittany Shipley: Chair, Ross Edmunds: Co-Chair, Vice-Chair: Patrick Gardner, & Co-Vice-Chair: David Welsh

Voting Members	Att'd	Voting Members	Att'd	Ex-officio Members	Att'd
Ross Edmunds - DBH	x	Sara Bennett - Parent Leader	x	Brian Blalock - Child Advocate	
Brittany Shipley - Parent Leader	x	Chynna Hirasaki - SDE	x	Cameron Gilliland - FACS	
David Welsh - Medicaid	x	Monty Prow - IDJC	x	Ashley Porter - Medicaid	x
Patrick Gardner - Child Advocate	x				
Howard Belodoff - Child Advocate	x	Proxy Voting Members	Att'd	Joy Jansen - School District	
Jessica Barawed - County Juvenile Justice	x	Candace Falsetti - DBH		Georganne Benjamin - Optum	x
Val Johnson - DBH CMH Representative	x	Andie Blackwood - FACS		Matt Johansen - Optum	x
Marquette Hendrickx - Tribal Representative	x	Recorder	Att'd	Dora Axtell - Nimiipuu Health	
Ruth York - Family Advocacy Agency	x	Sally Bryan - DBH	x	Candice Jimenez - NPAIHB	
Kim Hokanson - Parent Leader	x	Agency/Parent Representatives	Att'd	Caroline Merritt - Association of Providers	
TBD - Youth Leader		Alan Foutz - DBH DAG	x		
Chad Cardwell - FACS		Devin Gleason - Medicaid DAG	x	Tricia Ellinger - Parent Representative	
Juliet Charron - Medicaid	x	Megan Schuelke - DBH	x	Janet Hoeke - Parent Representative	
Laura Scuri - Provider	x	David Tovar - IBHP Bureau Chief	x		

MEETING NOTES

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
1	5 mins <i>(All times are aspirational & are subject to change.)</i>	Welcome, Roll Call, Approve Minutes, & Update on Action Items	IGT Executive Committee	The following document(s) were shared with the IGT members: FAM Subcommittee Meeting Notes 7.27.23 ICAT Subcommittee Meeting Notes 7.7.23 IGT Monthly Report from YES Communications Workgroup Action Item: Approve IGT Meeting Notes from August 2023.	Ross motion to approve Ruth seconded the motion to approve the meeting notes Unanimous approval of the notes from August 2023
2	5 mins	Brief Update on Project Coordinator Role	Megan Schuelke	Megan shared that she is working on her transition as Project Coordinator for IGT.	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Megan shared the document she is using during her transition. The transition plan has been discussed at the IGT Executive Committee meeting. The details of the plan will be shared with the IGT members at the meeting October IGT meeting.</p> <p>Ross shared that the plan is very thorough and looks forward to seeing her step into her new role.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that this is a role that will be evolving.</p> <p>Brittany shared that she is excited to see Megan take on this role.</p> <p>Next month it will be clearer what her duties will be and how we can ask her to do work for the IGT.</p>	
3	5 mins	Vote on New IGT Voting Member	IGT Voting Members	<p>Vote to expand the membership to include one member of the IBHP Bureau.</p> <p>Brittany shared that we would like to include a member of the IBHP Bureau.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that we are working on approving the Bureau Chief of the IBHP, not a particular member. This will expand the participants beyond what is specifically stated in the IAP.</p> <p>Patrick confirmed that this is what we are voting on.</p> <p>Brittany shared that this is a new position that hadn't existed previously.</p> <p>This new member will be added to our membership.</p>	<p>Ross motioned to expand the IGT membership to include the IBHP Bureau Chief</p> <p>Patrick seconded the motion</p> <p>The motion was unanimous with no opposition</p>
4	15 mins	Review & Vote on Proposed Updated IGT Bylaws	IGT Executive Committee	<p>Brittany shared that the IGT Bylaws were sent out in advance so members could review them. This has been an ongoing review of the Bylaws for quite a while and are awaiting a vote on these.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that at the last meeting there was some hesitation to approve because members did not have time to review the documents. There have not been any changes to the Bylaws since that meeting and there has been adequate time to review them.</p> <p>Brittany echoed what Patrick said. Megan and Sally mentioned that there was no feedback received.</p> <p>Kim asked if the ex officio roles were clearly stated. She does not see mention of ex officio roles in the IGT Bylaws that were sent on 9/6/23.</p> <p>Alan mentioned that when we worked on the voting rights, we eliminated the category of ex officio members. This would make it clearer to understand who were voting members.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that the people who were ex officio members are now members. The agency roles are members regardless of who holds that role. The agency roles do not need to be voted on since they are appointed by the agency. Ex Officio members were eliminated.</p>	<p>Patrick motioned to accept the Bylaws that were distributed 9/6/23</p> <p>Marquette seconded the motion</p> <p>This motion was carried unanimously</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Ross mentioned that we might need to go through the membership and make sure the voting membership is listed correctly on the meeting agendas.</p> <p>Patrick shared that the Idaho Behavioral Health Cooperative has a list of voting members. There will need to be a second step that adds the additional members and approved by the Co-op.</p> <p>Brittany mentioned that Megan has a chart of membership that we could use to show the voting membership on the agenda and meeting notes. The verbiage on the agenda would be changed to reflect the changes.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that there are members that have already been stated and voted on as members. This won’t change after we approve the Bylaws.</p> <p>Kim mentions that, for an example, there are members on the list that were ex-officio members that now will not have a “name” associated with their role on the board.</p> <p>Marquette mentioned that the name on the agendas was “non-voting members”.</p> <p><i>WebEx Chat:</i> <i>from Brittany Shipley to everyone: 10:37 AM</i> <i>In a more simplistic form, there would be two groups: voting members and non-voting members</i> <i>from Brittany Shipley to everyone: 10:39 AM</i> <i>The reason for being a non-voting member can vary, it may be due to their status and representation of an agency, or it may be as a member of the public who is attending to seek knowledge; however, the end result will remain the same in the fact that they do not have voting rights as they were not nominated by the behavioral health cooperative</i> <i>from Kim Hokanson to everyone: 10:39 AM</i> <i>So non-voting members does not have a role assigned. is it necessary or are non-voting members of the public?</i></p> <p>Ross suggests we eliminate any attendees who are not voting members. We would still want to record proxy IGT voting members when a voting member is absent.</p> <p>Brittany asked if we would violate Idaho Open Meeting Laws if we don’t list everyone who attended.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that we are discussing approval of the IGT Bylaws right now. There was a question about ex officio membership which we were trying to address.</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Brittany appreciates Patrick’s comments and mentions that we will work on the list of voting members on the agenda in the future. Patrick asked Kim if her question related directly about the Bylaws. Kim mentioned that the discussion we have had helped to answer her question.</p>	
5	20 mins	IBHP Update (<i>Standing agenda item</i>)	Juliet & Alan Foutz	<p>Alan mentioned that he will discuss the litigation and Juliet will discuss the IBHP update. Alan asked Sally to send the memo with a brief overview of what is happening currently with the litigation. The State filed a motion to dismiss both of those legal challenges. The motion to dismiss the lawsuit by Beacon will be heard September 22nd and the one motion seeking to dismiss by Optum will be heard October 25th. Optum asked to be a party to the lawsuit with Beacon which was granted. Optum also filed a motion to have the two cases consolidated into one was denied. Patrick asked who the lead attorney was. Alan commented that it was Brian Church. Alan commented that the times of the case on the 25th are not known to him at this time. Juliet commented that the case on the 22nd starts at 9:00 AM.</p> <p>Juliet mentioned that we are moving forward with readiness with Magellan to go live in March 2024. There are requirements for readiness with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). We are meeting with Magellan on a regular basis. Some of the readiness criteria are: Sharing of data Readiness of the providers Hiring of staff Claims payments, etc.</p> <p>Ross shared those services will be for both Medicaid and non-Medicaid people. DHW will no longer deliver direct services. There will be a 25% reduction in personnel dollars since we won’t be serving clients directly. We are excited to expand these services and enable citizens to be able to be served more easily. Patrick wondered how many kids are involved in the transition. Ross mentioned that we are performing case management but not performing direct services. We do maintain and oversee the contracts that help to serve these kids. Ross’s estimate was 1200 - 1500 kids statewide. Patrick asked how this will work to transition kids to the new services. Ross mentioned currently the CMH clinician involved as a case manager. Our contract with several providers that are shared with Medicaid, not all but most. We don’t know if the family would have</p>	Alan will send the memo to Howard.

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>to change providers, that depends on if the provider agrees to contract with Magellan. Magellan will have to establish contracts with all the providers before go-live. The direct services are case management and wraparound services.</p> <p>Patrick asked how many kids that are receiving direct services from DBH will be transitioned to the new network.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that the only two direct services we provide are case management and wraparound services which will be transitioned, which is 1200 - 1500 kids.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that there are 1200 - 1500 families that will need to be absorbed by the MCO.</p> <p>David W. mentioned that some of the services are provided by Medicaid providers, and some are provided by private providers.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that the way these families are receiving case management is through our contracts. Much of the case management services our staff are providing are minimal case management.</p> <p>Brittany asked what parents can do to help during this transition.</p> <p>Ross appreciated that question and would like to work together to develop a checklist for parents during this process.</p> <p>Brittany commented that having a checklist would be very helpful.</p> <p>David T. mentioned that as we work on this transition, we are stressing the patient side of this transition.</p> <p>Brittany asked what the transition will look like for the staff that are currently providing direct services.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that there will be a 25% reduction in staff. We have been working on that reduction for the past few years. We have not hired new staff, but instead hiring limited service. There are also many staff who have been hired into the new Bureau structure.</p> <p>Ashley mentioned that there will be some community forums and advisory committees that will keep the public involved in the transition.</p> <p>Laura Scuri asked if case management would be part of the Magellan contract. Will Magellan do their own administrative case management?</p> <p>Ross mentioned that the IBHP will do the intensive care coordination themselves. The non-intensive case management would be delivered by the MCO.</p> <p>David Welsh shared that we would have more information on what that will look like in the coming months.</p> <p><i>WebEx Chat: from Patrick Gardner to everyone: 11:06 AM</i></p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p><i>I love David Tovar's patient-centered focus. from Brittany Shipley to everyone: 11:06 AM Agreed, Patrick! It is so important! Thank you, David for keeping that in mind and at the forefront of the conversation!</i></p>	
6	20 mins	Update on meetings with parties and IWG	Ross Edmunds & Patrick Gardner	<p>Ross shared for some time we have been off a little with our collaborative approach with our work on this lawsuit. Conflict was inhibiting progress. We all recognized this and set up a meeting between the Plaintiff attorneys and DBH. We recognize that the Implementation Work Group (IWG) is the place for those discussions such as missing deadlines. We also worked on communication. There are some big things that need to be worked on, especially as we work on this transition. We want to work collaboratively so that we can accomplish some great work.</p> <p>Patrick shared that we used to meet, the parties and the IWG, pretty regularly prior to COVID. There were no in-person meetings after that which didn't help our relationship with the parties. This meeting helped us to mend some fences and work toward a common goal of successfully implementing the settlement agreement. The delays in implementation were a great source of frustration. These delays have affected the children that should be receiving services. The state is hoping that the new Managed Care Organization (MCO) will be able to alleviate the holdbacks. IGT members and others can help with a successful outcome. The state has been behind on many of the needed documents. They have worked diligently recently to complete some of those documents, which is appreciated. Some of the documents that have been being worked on are essential to families receiving services. It is important to have those documents completed to assist the MCO to effectively take over the services and help more families. The next six months will be critical. Transforming a system will take some time and if we work together, we will be able to help families have better outcomes. There are some challenges that will need to be worked through. The class counsel does not want to impede progress. The IGT members will play a role in assisting in this effort.</p> <p>Alan commented that he appreciated the summary from Ross and Patrick. There were very good conversations at the meeting. Not all points of disagreement were resolved and hopefully we are able to keep our eye on the ball. We need to be able to provide the best services to our clients.</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Juliet shared that we would like to focus on why we are doing this work. There was good clarification during this meeting which should help us moving forward.</p> <p>Howard mentioned that over the upcoming months we should see more progress and that the IGT members will ensure that Magellan lives up to their obligations. We all want to help the children of the state to get the needed services.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that there are families that have been served well, as well as families that have not received the services they needed. There is work that needs to be done.</p>	
7	15 mins	New Business Items	IGT Members	<p>Brittany mentioned that we would like to discuss items that we haven't had time for in the past such as the Local Education Association (LEA) conversation. We are intentionally leaving this area open for those things that we haven't taken the time to address.</p> <p>Ashley mentioned that the One Kid, One CANS documentation was distributed. The One Kid, One CANS Workgroup was looking for feedback and recommendations by the IGT.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that we cannot make a decision at this time because it was not presented as a voting item prior to this meeting but we can solicit feedback.</p> <p>Kim mentioned that the document came out of an IGT request.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that the request for the One Kid, One CANS Workgroup was initiated in the IGT Meeting. The product of the IGT request is being presented to the IGT.</p> <p>Ashley asked if we want to add this to a future agenda item.</p> <p>Brittany read the email from Kyle Hanson because they would like to have feedback by the end of the month so they could provide feedback prior to implementation.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that it appears that the workgroup is telling the IGT that they will move forward with or without their feedback. There is a provision in the Implementation Assurance Plan (IAP) regarding workgroup products and recommendations and they are more formal than this. That is not the way I think this should work. The IGT should comment, as a body, on these recommendations. This (CANS) is the front door for services and should be looked at closely.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that at the next meeting we should review and provide support of the work moving forward. Ross mentioned that we should have on the agenda, the input from the IGT to be put into the document which would then be voted on at the October IGT meeting.</p> <p>Patrick mentioned that we need time to review the document and have some discussion that leads to a recommendation or endorsement. This might indicate a vote in October or November if</p>	<p>All members of IGT are asked to provide written comment on One Kids, One CANS report to Megan and the IGT Executive Committee by September 30, 2023.</p>

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>there are disagreements. The IGT Executive Committee could put a proposal together and it could be voted on at the next meeting.</p> <p><i>WebEx Chat:</i> <i>from Porter, Ashley - CO 6th to everyone: 11:40 AM</i> <i>This was first sent to IGT in July.</i></p> <p>Ashley is concerned about the delay in moving forward. Patrick mentioned that this might be a missed agenda item that should have been addressed earlier. Brittany mentioned that she is willing to collect feedback if that is helpful. We don't want to provide individual membership comments to Kyle. Could we ask them to meet with us next month? Ashley mentioned that we can ask about a presentation for IGT when they attend a separate meeting with the CANS Workgroup. Patrick mentioned that it might be up to the IGT Executive Committee who could discuss this at that meeting. Ruth mentioned that she sees that the document went out in July, but it has never been added to the agenda. She thinks the group needs to hear the feedback of others. We need to come together for the discussion.</p> <p>Megan mentioned that Kyle originally asked for distribution of the document in July but did not ask for feedback until recently. Ashley commented that Kyle might not have realized the feedback role that the IGT plays. We also have had a lot of meetings that ran longer than expected. Ruth asked what the recommendation is for feedback. What is the IGT's role here?</p> <p>Kim mentioned that the idea for the CANS was to shorten the CANS. Providers and parents both gave feedback. This leads to the feedback needed by the IGT members. Ashley commented that maybe we let Kyle know that we will not be ready to provide feedback by the end of September. Patrick asked if the original document is the same as the one that was distributed recently. Ashley suggested that Kyle could be added to the agenda for a presentation at the next meeting. Kim mentioned that there was a lot of feedback. Ross mentioned that there can't be any changes on the CANS based on our feedback. Patrick mentioned that feedback could be made to the entire IGT group but would be discussed at the IGT Executive Committee.</p>	

#	Length	Topic	Topic Owner	Discussion	Decisions
				<p>Brittany suggested that all the feedback be sent before the end of September which would help the IGT Executive Committee to compile all the feedback.</p> <p>Ruth shared that many times she has questions which makes it hard to give feedback. The questions help her to formulate her opinion. Could we add that process to our feedback?</p> <p>Brittany agrees and believes that in the future we will be able to solicit feedback in that way, especially with Megan's help in her new role.</p> <p>Patrick's understanding is that all the IGT members are encouraged to provide written feedback on the proposal for the One Kid, One CANS Decision Point Report by the 30th of September. Feedback will be sent to Megan Schuelke. Feedback can be shared if you choose, to the rest of the group or just to Megan, depending on your preferences. The IGT Executive Committee will put together a description of what is on the table and a presentation of the comments. They will have a conversation regarding how to proceed. Brittany agrees that that is what she understands as well.</p> <p>Patrick welcomes feedback in that way.</p> <p>Ross mentioned that both Kim and Kyle have been working on the One Kid, One CANS project.</p> <p>Kim asked if her and Kyle were making a presentation.</p> <p>Brittany explained that we will have a conversation regarding that soon.</p> <p>Kim mentioned that she will discuss these things with Kyle soon.</p> <p>Megan will send an email regarding this.</p>	
8	15 mins	Additional Items	<i>As needed</i>	<i>No additional items were discussed during this time.</i>	
9	2.5 mins	Public Comments	IGT Members	<i>No public comments were shared during this time.</i>	
10	5 mins	Review Future Agenda Topics	IGT Executive Committee	<p><u>Future IGT Meeting Agenda Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback Suggestions for YES Sprint Recommendations - IGT Executive Committee <p><u>October IGT Meeting Agenda Items:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Coordinator Presentation & Feedback - Megan Schuelke & Val Johnson Discussion on the One Kid, One CANS Workgroup Decision Point Report & Recommendations - IGT Members 	
11	--	Dismissal	IGT Members		

The IGT will track action items and their status from the meetings here:

Follow-up Items	Opened	Owner	Due Date	Comments	Status